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Why not plan an elective Caesarean section for breech presentation at term – safety first?
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Breech presentation at term is present in 3–4% of the pregnant population. The optimal mode of delivery is currently still under debate amongst healthcare professionals. Following the Term Breech Trial¹, common practice has swayed toward elective Caesarean sections, which have led to a reduction in the training and experience in performing vaginal breech deliveries.

The Term Breech Trial randomised 2088 women to planned vaginal or Caesarean deliveries, and found that perinatal and neonatal mortality, as well as serious perinatal morbidity were significantly decreased in the Caesarean delivery group (1.6% vs 5.0%, RR 0.33 [95% CI 0.19–0.56]: p<0.0001]). These findings in turn significantly contributed to the Cochrane Database review, which showed a reduction in perinatal mortality from 1.3% to 0.3% in those who underwent planned Caesarean section². A large meta-analysis consisting of 258,953 births in 27 studies also suggested that planned Caesarean delivery was the safer option, with a two- to five-fold reduction in perinatal mortality when compared with vaginal deliveries³. The Term Breech Trial, however, is not without limitations. The main criticisms that followed the conclusions of this trial were that many patients did not undergo appropriate case selection with ultrasound, a lack of obstetrician presence, and many did not undergo intrapartum fetal heart monitoring⁴. The PREMODA study therefore included pregnant women who were selected after having satisfied the criteria of normal radiological pelvimetry, ultrasound, and electronic fetal monitoring (EFM). This observational study consisted of a larger cohort of 2526 vaginal and 5579 Caesarean breech deliveries. Although there was an increased incidence of low APGAR scores at 5 minutes (OR 3.20, 95% CI 1.93–5.3) and birth injuries (OR 3.90, 95% CI 2.40–6.34) in the vaginal delivery group, no difference in neonatal unit admissions or serious neonatal morbidity were found when comparing the groups (unadjusted OR = 1.10, 95% CI [0.75–1.61]), even after adjusting for confounding factors (adjusted OR = 1.40, 95% CI [0.89–2.23])³.

Despite these conflicting findings, a planned Caesarean delivery at 39 weeks will inevitably reduce the risk of perinatal mortality as a result of post-maturity and labour (by at least 1/1000⁵), despite the presentation.

Our practice in the U.K.
Following the Term Breech Trial, there has been a significant decrease in vaginal breech deliveries. As a result, the skills for vaginal breech delivery have become scarcer, and more birth attendants have become inexperienced at vaginal breech delivery.

In the UK, planned vaginal breech births continue to be rare and attempts are made to reduce breech presentation at term by offering women found to have breech presentation External Cephalic Version (ECV) between 36 weeks and 37 weeks of gestation.7

A systematic review of eight trials including 1308 women demonstrated that ECV at term reduces non-cephalic presentation at delivery (RR 0.42, 95% CI 0.29–0.61). All these studies were randomised, included low-risk pregnancies and have provided convincing evidence that the chance of breech birth and cephalic presentation may be substantially reduced by attempting external cephalic version (ECV) at or near term.8

ECV is not practised in many parts of the world. In the UK, the views of obstetricians on ECV are generally positive, but there are some negative perceptions among women mainly due to negative lay accounts of ECV, the associated pain, risk of failure of ECV as well as risk of babies subsequently reverting to breech presentation.9

Women who have a breech presentation at term following a decline or unsuccessful ECV should be informed that planned caesarean section leads to a small reduction in perinatal mortality compared with planned vaginal breech delivery. Women should be informed that this reduction is due to 3 factors: the avoidance of stillbirth after 39 weeks gestation, the avoidance of intrapartum risks and the risks of vaginal breech birth (which is the only factor unique to breech presentation).10

The Cochrane review concluded that planned caesarean compared with planned vaginal birth reduced perinatal or neonatal death as well as the composite outcome death or serious neonatal morbidity, at the expense of somewhat increased maternal morbidity2. Three trials (2396 participants) were included in the review. Caeasarian delivery occurred in 550/1227 (45%) of those women allocated to a vaginal delivery protocol and 1060/1169 (91%) of those women allocated to planned caesarean section. Perinatal or neonatal death (excluding fatal anomalies) was reduced by 70% with planned caesarean section (RR 0.29, 95% CI 0.10 to 0.86, three studies, 2388 women). The proportional reductions were similar for countries with low and high national perinatal mortality rates.

Vlemmix et al. published a population-based cohort study of 58,320 non-anomalous term babies with breech presentations between 1997 and 2007 from the Netherlands Perinatal Registry, evaluating the effect of increased elective caesarean following the Term Breech Trial. The perinatal mortality of babies with breech presentation halved from 0.13% to 0.07% (OR 0.51, 95% CI 0.28–0.93). For planned vaginal breech birth, however, it remained stable (OR 0.96, 95% CI 0.52–1.76). More importantly, the perinatal mortality was 0.16% in the planned vaginal birth group and 0% in the elective caesarean section group (P < 0.0001) post publication of the Term Breech Trial report, although this mortality rate with vaginal delivery was notably lower than that reported in the Term Breech Trial (0.16% versus 1.3%). Elective caesarean also reduced the risk of low Apgar scores (less than 7 at 5 minutes; OR 0.12, 95% CI 0.09–0.16) and neonatal ‘trauma’ (OR 0.24, 95% CI 0.15–0.37) compared with planned vaginal birth. The authors estimated that 338 additional caesarean sections were performed for each perinatal death prevented.11

Conclusion

Mode of delivery for breech presentation remains a much debated topic. Much research has concluded that planned caesarean section is the safest option and overall associated with lower perinatal mortality rates compared with vaginal breech deliveries. This reduced risk needs to, of course, be balanced against maternal risks associated with caesarean section as well as risks to future pregnancies of having a scarred uterus.
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